

[Stewkley Residents' Feedback to New Development Sites \(from 15 July 2017 Exhibition\) \(updated version 5 August\)](#)

[Site Comments](#)

Site 1

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Good site	1	Segregated from village (not continuing existing housing line)	2
		Too visible	1
		Building on Ridge and Furrow (Heritage site)	2
		Poor Access/Dangerous traffic spot	1

Site 2

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Good site	1	Segregated from village (not continuing existing housing line)	2
		Too visible	1
		Building on Ridge and Furrow (Heritage site)	2
		Poor Access/Dangerous traffic spot	1

Site 3

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Good site	1	Segregated from village (not continuing existing housing line)	3
		Too visible	2
		Building on Ridge and Furrow (Heritage site)	3
		Poor Access/Dangerous traffic spot	1

Site 7

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Development may improve appearance of village	2	Extends too far into countryside	11
Must be developed in sympathy with Conservation Area	1	Damages linear character of village	3
Should not go deeper than current building line	2	Difficult/dangerous access	2
		In conservation area	1
		Unique village farm	1

Site 12

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Reduce number of homes	1	Dangerous access/traffic	7
Traffic danger/improve road layout	2	Site too deep	3

Site 15

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Ensure no vehicular access to Walducks/Orkney	1	Too many houses	6
Provides type of houses required on one site	1	No additional supporting infrastructure in village	2
Working closely with the environment	1	Infill should be priority	1
On village outskirts	1	Traffic congestion/danger	2
Own village green	1	Aesthetically unsympathetic	2
		Impact of building site on neighbouring properties	2
		Insufficient ecology survey	1
		Insufficient archeology survey	1
		Too much "affordable" housing	5
		Detrimental to rural nature of village	5
		Detrimental to wildlife	2
		Damages linear character of village	1
		Does not tie in with plans for village overall	1

Site 20

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Good site	4	Layout and style of housing inappropriate/detrimental to village character	2
Good layout	3	Potentially detrimental to existing property	3
Enhances character of village	3	Extends line of village	1
Accessibility concerns - ie. pedestrian access	1	Too much scope for extensions	1
		Building on Ridge and Furrow (Heritage site)	1
		Sets precedent for further development	1
		No footpath/dangerous for pedestrians/traffic concerns	1
		Does not tie in with plans for village overall	1

Site 22

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Enhances character of village	5	Does not reflect character of village	3
Type of housing required in village	2	Potentially detrimental to existing property	3
Strengthens gateway into village	1	Overcrowded	2
Good site	4	Detrimental to Conservation Area	1
Good layout	2	Spoils views into countryside	2
Limit number of houses	2		

Site 23

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Strengthens gateway into village	3	Detrimental to Conservation Area	1
Type of housing required	3	Potentially detrimental to existing property	1
Enhances character of village	6	Extends line of village	1
Will help with traffic calming	1	Traffic concerns	1
Low impact on existing homes	1	Proposed housing style out of keeping within immediate context	1
Good quality architecture	1		
Good site	3		
Good layout	2		

Site 30

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Preference for bungalows	1		

Site 33

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
As long as right type of housing for villagers	1	Too much infill	2
Careful consideration required to preserve heritage and character	1	Impact on the listed dovecote	2
		Entrance too narrow	2
		Will be too overlooked	2
		Spoils rural views	1
		Extends too far beyond current building line	1
		Where will Business Park relocate?	1

Site 44

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Good access	1		

Site 47

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Good quality architecture/materials	2	Site too deep	4
Attractive entrance to village	2	Detrimental to Conservation Area	2
Good layout	3	Spoils views into countryside	1
Enhances character of village	2	Potentially detrimental to existing property	1
Fulfills housing needs within the village	1		
Good site	2		

Site 51

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
		Compromises privacy for existing dwellings	1
		Trees not diseased	1

Site 52

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Good site	1	Traffic/speed concerns	2
Providing development is sensitive to rural location	1		

Site 53

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
		Parking and traffic concerns	1
		Insufficient village infrastructure	1
		Inappropriate type of housing	1
		Loss of green space	1
		Site too small	1

Boundary Comments

Comments in Support of 'Favourable' and suggested type of development		Comments in support of 'Unfavourable'	
Prefer NPSG Boundary line as current plan for Site 7 extends too far back into countryside	6	Intersects listed barn at "Crow End"	1
Will prevent further development damaging linear nature of village	5	Site 3 excluded	1
Provides transparency/clarity	3	Secondary Boundary extends too far back at Site 12	1
Will prevent over-development	2	Existing Industrial buildings excluded at Manor Business Park	1
Excepting scale of Site 15	1	Line goes through centre of domestic gardens	1
Approve in general	5	Disapprove of extending length of village and dividing villagers	1
Sensitive to character of the village	2		