

**STEWKLEY PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING TEAM  
October 2017 REPORT.**

Headings	Notes
Working Groups progress	With the exception of the Housing, Environment, Landscape & Planning Report all others have now been received and provided to Paul Jobson. Paul has provided a template for completion of the required information on each of the presently 14 proposed sites. Gill has filled in the information available for Site 1 – Bletchley Road & it is apparent additional information will need to be gathered to include, measurements, size & density of surrounding area. Also maps & photographs. This is being urgently addressed by Gill & Neil. There is still a requirement for the Working Group Report explaining the methodology of how the subject was researched, consulted and determined with the evidence to justify the Policies and recommendations.
Chairmans' comments	Issues with land owners in Bletchley have been resolved and boundary agreed. I understand Oxford Diocese has advised St Michaels Church to challenge the line of the boundary through the Church Yard. This will possible arise at the next stage of consultation. To date I have not received any further information from the owner on the revised development plan for site 20. I have consulted representatives for both Soulbury Rd & 66HSN and been told there's no further progress.
Things to be completed next month	Work on the SEA has been revised and a group of five people, lead by Janette are now seeking to bring it together. As has previously been stated this is a particularly complex paper and essentially needs to be submitted with the draft plan
Issues raised by working groups	Nothing to Report.
Actions/Decisions required	The current target is to complete the first stage of research by 3 <sup>rd</sup> week of October, immediately pass information to the Consultant and receive the first draft of the script by 2 <sup>nd</sup> week of November. <b>However there is an issue for the SPC to advise on. I am told the number of required homes for Stewkley has been reduced from 141 to 101. If that is correct it means we only need an additional 20 on top of 66HSN and Soulbury Rd. Therefore do we reduced the number of sites being put forward and if so which?</b>
Budget required	

Neil Dickens - Chairman of Neighbourhood Planning Team – 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.